

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF CANNONSBURG WATER)	
DISTRICT OF BOYD COUNTY, KENTUCKY, FOR)	
ORDER APPROVING CONSTRUCTION FINANCING,)	CASE NO. 95-237
CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND)	
NECESSITY)	

O R D E R

On May 25, 1995, Cannonsburg Water District ("Cannonsburg") initiated this proceeding by submitting an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to the Commission. Through this application Cannonsburg sought authorization to construct a 142,000 gallon water storage tank, to be paid for with funds from its operations and maintenance account.

Cannonsburg was notified by the Commission by letter of June 6, 1995, that its application was deficient in certain filing requirements. After reviewing Cannonsburg's June 22, 1995, response to the Commission's deficiency letter, Commission Staff determined that the minimum filing requirements had been met. However, additional information was necessary adequately to review Cannonsburg's application. By Order of July 17, 1995, the Commission directed Cannonsburg to file additional engineering data within 21 days. On August 9, 1995, Cannonsburg requested an extension of time in which to respond to the Commission's Order. The extension was granted and Cannonsburg's response was filed on August 23, 1995.

Upon review of Cannonsburg's response, Commission Staff determined that additional information was still needed. Accordingly, an informal conference was held with representatives of Cannonsburg and Commission Staff on October 17, 1995, to discuss Cannonsburg's August 23 response and the additional information Cannonsburg still needed to file. During the course of this conference, Cannonsburg's representatives informed Commission Staff that the water storage tank in question had already been constructed and had been in service for approximately three weeks. Only some minor work remained to be completed.

The Commission does not retroactively grant Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity. For this reason the Commission finds that this case should be dismissed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this case be dismissed with prejudice.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 1st day of December, 1995.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:


Executive Director